

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/810,437	03/19/2001	Tsutomu Matsumoto	010369	7204
23850	7590 09/30/2005		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			SON, LINH L D	
1725 K STRE SUITE 1000	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2135	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/810,437	MATSUMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner .	Art Unit		
Linh LD Son	2135		

•	- LAGIIIIIIOI	7.1. 01.1.					
	Linh LD Son	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	· ·						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		I36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of se appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS		ما لمصمفهم مطافعها الثنية					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)					
13. Other:							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Part of Paper No. 20050927

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The changes made to claims 1-3, 5, 7, and 11 need further consideration and searching.

'KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATA
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100